UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,071	01/09/2006	Zeev Smilansky	2488.016	2101
23405 7590 07/22/2008 HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI PC 5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE			EXAMINER	
			BORIN, MICHAEL L	
ALBANY, NY 12203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/537,071	SMILANSKY, ZEEV
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Borin	1631
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioder in the provision of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04/</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 86-107 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 86-107 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the application of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to the application of the applicatio	awn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner.	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/18/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Response to restriction requirement filed 03/17/2008 is acknowledged. Applicant

elected, without traverse, Group I. All previously pending claims, claims 1-85 are

canceled, and claims related to the elected Group I are replaced with new claims 86-

107.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35

U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application

No.08/030340, filed on 03/25/93.

The parent applications 07/839647 and 08/041647 were closely reviewed. It is

noted that the parent application discloses examples 1-111; examples 112-123 were

submitted in the application 08/041647. Correspondingly, the compounds disclosed in

the examples 112-123 are entitled to the effective filing date 04/01/93.

The claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 is objected to because it is not the first

sentence of the specification. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2).

Information Disclosure Statement

Art Unit: 1631

3. Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement filed 04/18/2006 has been

received and entered into the application. Accordingly, as reflected by the attached

completed copies of forms PTO-1449, the cited references have been considered.

Sequence Listing

4. The Sequence Listing was approved by STIC for matters of form.

Specification

5. The specification is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink

and/or other form of browser-executable code. See, for example, paragraphs [0020],

[0115], [0216], [0276] of PreGrant publication. Applicant is requested to delete the

embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP

§ 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Art Unit: 1631

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 86-95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Rothschild et al (US patent 6210941) or Dirks et al. (Histochemistry and Cell Biology Volume 115, Number 1 / January, 2001, p. 3-11)

The instant claims are drawn to method for monitoring protein synthesis comprising:

- providing a system comprising a marker detectable through detection of electromagnetic radiation, the marker comprising
 - at least one labeled ribosome or a labeled fragment thereof, and
 - at least one labeled element selected from the group consisting of the ribosome or a fragment thereof, or tRNA and an amino acid; and
- detecting electromagnetic radiation signals emitted from the system in response to protein synthesis activity

Rothschild et al teach method of detecting monitoring method for monitoring protein synthesis comprising:

- providing a system comprising
 - a labeled tRNA (which reads on a labeled ribosome fragment) and

Art Unit: 1631

- at least one labeled amino acid; and

detecting electromagnetic radiation signals emitted from the system in response

to protein synthesis activity

See col. 5, lines 26-40; col. 21-22, claims 1-25.

With respect to claim 87, the system can be cell- or in vitro translation system.

See Abstract, col. 7, bottom.

With respect to claims 89-92, the marker can be fluorescent. See col. 12, for

example.

With respect to claims 91-93, in addition to measuring fluorescent signal, protein

synthesis can be monitored based on energy transfer from one marker to another. See

col. 18, second paragraph.

Dirks et al review applications of fluorescent methods for visualizing RNA

processing in ribosomes (which is viewed as monitoring of protein synthesis).

Dirks describes several approaches, such as the "classic" approach, in which one

or more fluorochromed oligonucleotides are hybridized to their target RNA sequence;

the molecular beacon approach wherein fluorescence is observed only when the

molecular beacon probes hybridize to their target, the fluorescence resonance energy

transfer (FRET) approach wherein two hybridization events have to occur to bring a

donor and an acceptor molecule (red dot) in close proximity to allow energy transfer

between the donor and acceptor (and thus, fluorescent emission light from the acceptor

molecule is visible only when two different oligonucleotide probes hybridize on the same

Art Unit: 1631

mRNA target molecule. See Figure 3. For the latter, FRET, the reference teaches that it is expected that the detection of FRET can be further improved using fluorescence lifetime imaging (FLIM). By FLIM the decrease of fluorescence lifetime of the donor fluorophore is measured so that fluorescent background signals, which are still detected together with the FRET signals, can be easily recognized as such.

It is the Examiners position that all the elements of Applicant's invention with respect to the specified claims are instantly disclosed by the teaching of the reference cited above

7. Claims 86, 96-107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as unpatentable over Rothchild et al, or Dirks et al.

The references are applied as discussed.

With respect to claims 96-107 if there are any differences between Applicant's claimed method and that of the prior art, the differences would be appear minor in nature. Although the prior art do not teach the various combinations of signal acquisition and analysis as claimed, the nature of the problem to be solved – monitoring protein synthesis - would lead inventors to look at references relating to possible factors known to affect detection and identification of fluorescent signals of labeled ribosome components. Based on particular situation, it would be conventional and within the skill of the art to select and/or determine such result-oriented variables as appropriate conditions for signal measurement and acquisition (e.g., single vs. plural

Art Unit: 1631

ribosomes, measuring after preliminary irradiation, using FRET conditions, etc), as well as signal analysis (e.g., recording signal type and comparing to database information, finding matching database information, etc). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine all known factors with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded nothing more than predictable results of more comprehensive monitoring of protein synthesis.

8. Claims 86-107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as unpatentable over Kukhanova et. al. (Molecular Biology Reports. Volume 1, Number 7 / September, 1974, pages 397-400) in view of Odom et al. (Biochemistry. 1990 Dec 4;29(48):10734) or Paulsen et al. (Biochemistry, Vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 2749, 1986).

Kukhanova et. al teach fliuorescently labeled peptidyl-tRNA for monitoring protein synthesis. Fliuorescently labeled peptidyl-tRNA is viewed as a marker comprising a labeled ribosome fragment, tRNA, and a labeled amino acid. See Abstract. Although the reference does not describe monitoring protein synthesis, it teaches that peptidyl-tRNA having a fluorescent label of sufficient size and hydrophobicity in the peptide moiety of the molecule may be specifically bound to ribosomes and may act as peptide donors. See last paragraph. Thus, one skilled in tha art at the time the invention was made would be motivated to use fliuorescently labeled peptidyl-tRNA for monitoring protein synthesis.

Indeed, Odom et al. describe use of fliuorescently labeled peptidyl-tRNA to

measure the position and movement of an analogue of a nascent peptide and the amino acid stem of the tRNA during the peptidyl transferase reaction. Also, energy transfer was also measured from labeled peptidyl-tRNA to a fluorescent derivative of erythromycin (p. 10734, right column, bottom), or to cysteine sulfhydryl residue of either ribosomal protein S21 or L11 (p. 10734, left column, bottom).

Similarly, Paulsen et al teach monitoring of tRNA topography in the course of translocation (I. e., in the course of protein synthesis). The distances between the anticodon loops of fluorescent tRNA^{Phe} bound to the E site and to either the A or the P site of poly(U)-programmed Escherichia coli ribosomes were measured by fluorescence energy transfer. See Abstract.

With respect to claims 96-107 if there are any differences between Applicant's claimed method and that of the prior art, the differences would be appear minor in nature. Although the prior art do not teach the various combinations of signal acquisition and analysis as claimed, the nature of the problem to be solved – monitoring protein synthesis - would lead inventors to look at references relating to possible factors known to affect detection and identification of fluorescent signals of labeled ribosome components. Based on particular situation, it would be conventional and within the skill of the art to select and/or determine such result-oriented variables as appropriate conditions for signal measurement and acquisition (e.g., single vs. plural ribosomes, measuring after preliminary irradiation, using FRET conditions, etc), as well as signal analysis (e.g., recording signal type and comparing to database information,

Art Unit: 1631

finding matching database information, etc). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine all known factors with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded nothing more than predictable results of more comprehensive monitoring of protein synthesis.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Borin whose telephone number is (571) 272-0713. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marjorie Moran can be reached on (571) 272-0720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1631

/Michael Borin, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631